arXiv:math/9202201v1 [math.CV] 7 Feb 1992

SZEGÖ KERNELS FOR CERTAIN UNBOUNDED DOMAINS IN $\mathbb{C}^2$.

Friedrich Haslinger

1. Introduction

In this paper we consider the connection between the Szegö kernel of certain unbounded domains of $\mathbb{C}^2$ and the Bergman kernels of weighted spaces of entire functions of one complex variable.

Let $p : \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ denote a $\mathcal{C}^1$–function and define $\Omega_p \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$ by

$$\Omega_p = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \Im(z_2) > p(z_1)\}.$$

Weakly pseudoconvex domains of this kind were investigated by Nagel, Rosay, Stein and Wainger [10,11] , where estimates for the Szegö and the Bergman kernel of the domain were made in terms of the nonisotropic pseudometric defined in [12,13]. For the case where $p(z) = |z|^k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, Greiner and Stein [5] found an explicit expression for the Szegö kernel of Ω_p, in which one can recognize the form of the pseudometric used for the nonisotropic estimates (see [2,8]). If p is a subharmonic function, which depends only on the real or only on the imaginary part of z, then one can find analogous expressions and estimates in [9].

Let $H^2(\partial\Omega_p)$ denote the space of all functions $f \in L^2(\partial\Omega_p)$, which are holomorphic in Ω_p and such that

$$\sup_{y>0} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(z, t + ip(z) + iy)|^2 \, d\lambda(z) dt < \infty,$$

where $d\lambda$ denotes the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}$. We identify $\partial\Omega_p$ with $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$, and denote by $S((z, t), (w, s)),\ z, w \in \mathbb{C}$, $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, the Szegö kernel of $H^2(\partial\Omega_p)$.

We use the tangential Cauchy–Riemann operator on $\partial\Omega_p$ to get an expression for the Bergman kernel $K_\tau(z, w)$ in the space H_τ of all entire functions f such that

$$\int |f(z)|^2 \exp(-2\tau p(z)) \, d\lambda(z) < \infty ,$$

where $\tau > 0$; in this connection we suppose that the weight functions p have a reasonable growth behavior so that the corresponding spaces of entire functions are nontrivial, for example if $p(z)$ is a polynomial in $\Re z$ and $\Im z$.

On the other hand, if one integrates the Bergman kernels with respect to the parameter τ, one obtains a formula for the Szegö kernel of $H^2(\partial\Omega_p)$.

We apply the main result for special functions p to get generalizations of results in [5,8,9]. In [7] one can find another approach to get explicit expressions for the Szegö kernel. Finally the Bergman kernels for the spaces H_τ, where p is a function of $\Re z$, are investigated, especially their asymptotic behavior, which leads to sharp estimates and applications to problems considered in [7] concerning a duality problem in functional analysis.

Proposition 1. *Let* $\tau > 0$. *Then*

$$K_\tau(z,w) = e^{\tau(p(z)+p(w))} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} S((z,t),(w,s)) \frac{e^{i\tau(s-t)}}{p(w) - is} \, dsdt, \tag{1}$$

where the integrals are to be understood in the sense of the Plancherel theorem, i.e. in general one has only L^2*–convergence of the integrals.*

The fact that the above formula (1) is not symmetric in z and w is due to the L^2– convergence of the integrals.

Proposition 2.

$$S((z,t),(w,s)) = \int_0^\infty K_\tau(z,w) e^{-\tau(p(z)+p(w))} e^{-i\tau(s-t)} \, d\tau. \tag{2}$$

2. Proofs of Proposition 1. and 2.

For the proof we consider the tangential Cauchy–Riemann operator

$$L = \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z_1}} - 2i \frac{\partial p}{\partial \overline{z_1}}(z_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z_2}}$$

on $\partial\Omega_p$. Then (see [8]) L is a global tangential antiholomorphic vector field, and

$$H^2(\partial\Omega_p) = \{f \in L^2(\partial\Omega_p) : \ L(f) = 0 \text{ as distribution}\}.$$

After the usual identification of $\partial\Omega_p$ with $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$ the tangential Cauchy–Riemann operator has the form

$$L = \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}} - i \frac{\partial p}{\partial \overline{z}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \ .$$

For a function $f \in L^2(d\lambda(z)dt)$ let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the Fourier transform with respect to the variable $t \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$(\mathcal{F}f)(z,\tau) = \int f(z,t) e^{-it\tau} \, dt \ .$$

Then

$$\mathcal{F}L\mathcal{F}^{-1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z}} + \tau\frac{\partial p}{\partial\overline{z}} \ .$$

$\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ are to be taken in the sense of the Plancherel theorem.

Now let M denote the multiplication operator

$$M \ : \ L^2(d\lambda(z)dt) \longrightarrow L^2(e^{-2tp(z)}d\lambda(z)dt)$$

defined by

$$(Mf)(z,\tau) = e^{\tau p(z)}f(z,\tau) \ ,$$

for $f \in L^2(d\lambda(z)dt)$. Then one has

$$\mathcal{F}L\mathcal{F}^{-1} = M^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z}}M \ . \tag{3}$$

Let $\mathcal{P}$ denote the orthogonal projection

$$\mathcal{P} : L^2(d\lambda(z)dt) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ker}L,$$

and let P be the orthogonal projection

$$P : L^2(e^{-2tp(z)}d\lambda(z)dt) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ker}\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z}}.$$

For fixed $\tau > 0$, let P_τ be the orthogonal projection

$$P_\tau : L^2(e^{-2\tau p(z)}d\lambda(z)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ker}\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z}}.$$

Now we claim that

$$(Pf)(z,\tau) = \left\{ \begin{matrix} (P_\tau f_\tau)(z) & ,\tau > 0 \\ 0 & ,\tau \le 0 \end{matrix} \right\},$$

where $f_\tau(z) = f(z,\tau)$, for $f \in L^2(e^{-2tp(z)}d\lambda(z)dt)$. In order to see this it is enough to observe that a function $f \in L^2(e^{-2tp(z)}d\lambda(z)dt)$ holomorphic with respect to the variable z has the property $f(z,t) = 0$, for almost all $t \le 0$, which is a consequence of our assumption on the weight function p.

The next step is to show that

$$P = M\mathcal{F}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}^{-1}M^{-1}. \tag{4}$$

Denote the right side of (4) by Q. We have to show that $Q^2 = Q$ and that

$$\mathrm{Ker}\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z}} \subseteq L^2(e^{-2tp(z)}d\lambda(z)dt)$$

coincides with the image of Q. The first assertion follows directly from the definition of Q. For the second assertion take a function $f \in L^2(e^{-2tp(z)}d\lambda(z)dt)$ and use (3) to prove that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z}}Qf = M\mathcal{F}L\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}^{-1}M^{-1}f.$$

the last expression is zero, since $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}^{-1}M^{-1}f \in \mathrm{Ker}L$, which implies that the image of Q is contained in $\mathrm{Ker}\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}}$. To prove the opposite inclusion set $g = Qf$ for $f \in \mathrm{Ker}\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}}$. We are finish, if we can show that $Qg = f$. From (3) we get now

$$L\mathcal{F}^{-1}M^{-1}f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}M^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}}f,$$

which is zero by the assumption on f, hence $\mathcal{F}^{-1}M^{-1}f \in \mathrm{Ker}L$ and therefore

$$\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}^{-1}M^{-1}f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}M^{-1}f.$$

The last equality yields

$$Qg = M\mathcal{F}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}^{-1}M^{-1}f = M\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}^{-1}M^{-1}f = f,$$

which proves formula (4).

For a fixed $\tau > 0$ take a function $F \in L^2(e^{-2\tau p(z)}d\lambda(z))$ and define

$$f(z,t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{rl} \chi(z)F(z) & ,t \geq \tau \\ 0 & ,t < \tau \end{array} \right\},$$

where χ is a nonnegative, smooth function with the properties $(\chi(z))^2 = p(z)$, for $|z| \leq 1$ and $\chi(z) = 1$, for $|z| \geq 2$.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{C}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(z,t)|^2 e^{-2tp(z)}\, dt d\lambda(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{C}}\int_{\tau}^{\infty} |\chi(z)F(z)|^2 e^{-2tp(z)}\, dt d\lambda(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{1}{2p(z)} |\chi(z)F(z)|^2 e^{-2\tau p(z)}\, d\lambda(z) \leq \text{Const.} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |F(z)|^2 e^{-2\tau p(z)}\, d\lambda(z), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$f \in L^2(e^{-2tp(z)}d\lambda(z)dt).$$

Now we use formula (4) to obtain (1): application of the operators M^{-1} and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ to the function f from above yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^{-1}M^{-1}f(w,t) &= \int_{\tau}^{\infty} \chi(w)F(w)e^{t(i\sigma - p(w))}\, dt \\ &= \frac{\chi(w)F(w)e^{-\tau(p(w)-i\sigma)}}{p(w) - i\sigma}, \end{aligned}$$

which is a function in $L^2(d\lambda(w)d\sigma)$, by the properties of the function χ.

The next operator in (4) is now $\mathcal{P}$, which is the Szegö projection, hence an application of this operator can be expressed by integration over the Szegö kernel $S((z,t),(w,\sigma))$. Finally we carry out the action of the operators $\mathcal{F}$ and M and recall the properties of the operator P on the left side of (4), which imply that this operator is for a fixed τ the Bergman projection in a weighted space of entire functions in one variable. The function χ appears on both sides and hence cancels out. In this way we get formula (1). In order to prove (2) one writes (4) in the form

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}M^{-1}PM\mathcal{F}, \tag{5}$$

and applies an analogous procedure as above.

3. Examples

(a) Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha > 0$. We consider the function $p(z) = |z|^\alpha$ and get from [6] the following expression for the Bergman kernel $K_\tau(z,w)$ in the space H_τ :

$$K_\tau(z,w) = \frac{2\pi}{\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2\tau)^{2(k+1)/\alpha} \left(\, \Gamma(2(k+1)/\alpha)\right)^{-1} z^k \overline{w}^k.$$

Now we apply formula (2) to this sum and get

$$\begin{aligned} &S((z,t),(w,s)) \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\Gamma(2(k+1)/\alpha)\right)^{-1} \; z^k \overline{w}^k \; 2^{2(k+1)/\alpha} \int_0^\infty \tau^{2(k+1)/\alpha} e^{-\tau(|z|^\alpha + |w|^\alpha)} e^{-i\tau(s-t)} \, d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

evaluation of the last integral gives

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{2(k+1)}{\alpha} + 1 \right) \; \left[|z|^\alpha + |w|^\alpha + i(s-t) \right]^{-(2(k+1)/\alpha)-1} ,$$

by the functional equation of the Γ–function we have

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{2(k+1)}{\alpha} + 1 \right) = \frac{2(k+1)}{\alpha} \; \Gamma(2(k+1)/\alpha),$$

hence

$$S((z,t),(w,s)) = \frac{2\pi}{\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{2(k+1)}{\alpha} \; 2^{2(k+1)/\alpha} z^k \overline{w}^k \; \left[|z|^\alpha + |w|^\alpha + i(s-t) \right]^{-(2(k+1)/\alpha)-1} .$$

Now we set

$$A = \frac{1}{2}(|z|^\alpha + |w|^\alpha + i(s-t))$$

and carry out the summation over k with the result

$$S((z,t),(w,s)) = \frac{2\pi}{\alpha^2} A^{-1-2/\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{z\overline{w}}{A^{2/\alpha}} \right)^{-2} .$$

This generalizes a result of Greiner and Stein [5], where the same formula appears for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ (see also [2,8]).

(b) If the weight function p depends only on the real part of z and satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2p(x)+2yx} \, dx < \infty,$$

for each $y \in \mathbb{R}$, then the Bergman kernel of H_τ is given by

$$K_\tau(z,w) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{\exp(\eta(z + \overline{w}))}{\int \exp(2(\eta x - \tau p(x))) \, dx} \, d\eta, \tag{6}$$

or

$$K_\tau(z,w) = \frac{\tau}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\exp(\tau\eta(z+\overline{w}))}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(2\tau(r\eta - p(r)))\,dr}\,d\eta. \tag{6'}$$

This follows by a modification of methods developed in [9]. To show (6) we proceed in the following way:

In sake of simplicity we set $\tau = 1$. Similar to the proofs of Proposition 1 and 2 we consider the multiplication operator

$$M_p : L^2(d\lambda(z)) \longrightarrow L^2(e^{-2p(x)}d\lambda(z)),$$

defined by $(M_p f)(z) = e^{p(x)} f(z) \ \ , f \in L^2(d\lambda(z))$. Now a computation shows that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}} \left(e^{p(x)} f(z) \right) = e^{p(x)} \left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} f + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \overline{z}} \right),$$

which can be expressed by the operator identity

$$L(f) := \left(M_{-p} \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}} M_p \right)(f) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} f + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \overline{z}}.$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the Fouriertransform with respect to y :

$$\mathcal{F}f(x,\eta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x,y) e^{-iy\eta}\,dy.$$

Then in the sense of distributions we have

$$\mathcal{F}L(f)(x,\eta) = \frac{1}{2}\left(e^{-p(x)+\eta x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(e^{p(x)-\eta x} \mathcal{F}f(x,\eta) \right) \right).$$

We set $\psi(x,\eta) = e^{p(x)-\eta x}$ and define the multiplication operator

$$\mathcal{M}_\psi : L^2(d\lambda(z)) \longrightarrow L^2(e^{-2p(x)+2yx} d\lambda(z))$$

by $(\mathcal{M}_\psi g)(x,\eta) = \psi(x,\eta) g(x,\eta)$, for $g \in L^2(d\lambda(z))$. Combining this with the last results we get

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathcal{M}_{-\psi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{M}_\psi \mathcal{F},$$

and finally

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}} = \frac{1}{2} M_p \mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathcal{M}_{-\psi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{M}_\psi \mathcal{F} M_{-p}.$$

In this context we consider differentiation with respect to x as an operator

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} : L^2(e^{-2p(x)+2yx} d\lambda(z)) \longrightarrow L^2(e^{-2p(x)+2yx} d\lambda(z)),$$

in the sense of distributions.

Further we remark that $\mathrm{Ker}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ consists of all functions $g \in L^2(e^{-2p(x)+2yx} d\lambda(z))$, which are constant in x.

By our assumption on the weight function p the space $L^2(e^{-2p(x)+2yx}dx)$ contains the constants for each $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Let P_y denote the orthogonal projection of $L^2(e^{-2p(x)+2yx}dx)$ onto the constants and P the orthogonal projection of $L^2(e^{-2p(x)+2yx}d\lambda(z))$ onto $\mathrm{Ker}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. Then it is easily seen that

$$(Pg)(x,y) = P_y g_y(x),$$

for $g \in L^2(e^{-2p(x)+2yx}d\lambda(z))$, where $g_y(x) = g(x,y)$.

For a fixed $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and a function $h \in L^2(e^{-2p(x)+2yx}dx)$ one has

$$P_y h = \frac{(h,1)}{(1,1)}1 = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2p(x)+2yx}\,dx\right)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x)e^{-2p(x)+2yx}\,dx.$$

Finally let $\mathcal{P}$ denote the orthogonal projection of $L^2(e^{-2p(x)}d\lambda(z))$ onto $H_1 = \mathrm{Ker}\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}}$.

With the help of the above operator identities we readily establish now

$$\mathcal{P} = M_p \mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathcal{M}_{-\psi} P \mathcal{M}_{\psi} \mathcal{F} M_{-p}.$$

This identity, together with the above remarks on the orthogonal projection P, implies formula (6).

Using (2) one gets

$$S((z,t),(w,s)) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\tau \exp(\tau(\eta(z+\overline{w}) - p(z) - p(w) - i(s-t)))}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(2\tau(r\eta - p(r)))\,dr}\,d\eta\,d\tau,$$

which is similar to an expression in [9].

Now we investigate the asymptotic behavior of the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(2\tau(r\eta - p(r)))\,dr, \tag{7}$$

which appears in formula (6), first as a function of η, for $|\eta| \to \infty$.

We restrict our attention to the case where the weight function p is of the form

$$p(r) = \frac{|r|^\alpha}{\alpha},\ \alpha > 1,\ r \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let p^* denote the Young conjugate of p which is given by

$$p^*(\eta) = \sup_{x \geq 0}\,[x|\eta| - p(x)] = \frac{|\eta|^{\alpha'}}{\alpha'}, \tag{8}$$

where $\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha'} = 1$. Note that $p^{**} = p$. Now we can estimate the integral (7) from above.

$$\int \exp(2\tau(r\eta - p(r)))\,dr = \int^\infty \exp(2\tau(r\eta - p(r)))\,dr + \int^0 \exp(2\tau(r\eta - p(r)))\,dr.$$

Let $\lambda > 1$. Then we have for $\eta \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty \exp(2\tau(r\eta - p(r)))\, dr &\leq \int_0^\infty \exp(2\tau(r\eta - \lambda\eta r + p^*(\lambda\eta)))\, dr \\ &= \exp(2\tau(p^*(\lambda\eta)) \int_0^\infty \exp(-2\tau(\lambda - 1)r\eta)\, dr \\ &= \frac{\exp(2\tau p^*(\lambda\eta)}{2\tau(\lambda - 1)\eta},\end{aligned}$$

and for the second part of the integral

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-\infty}^0 \exp(2\tau(r\eta - p(r)))\, dr &= \int_0^\infty \exp(2\tau(-r\eta - p(r)))\, dr \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \exp(-2\tau r\eta)\, dr \\ &= \frac{1}{2\tau\eta}.\end{aligned}$$

For $\eta \leq -1$ we estimate in the analogous way.

Finally for $|\eta| < 1$ we get

$$\int_0^\infty \exp(2\tau(r\eta - p(r)))\, dr \leq \int_0^\infty \exp(2\tau(r - p(r)))\, dr,$$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-\infty}^0 \exp(2\tau(r\eta - p(r)))\, dr &= \int_0^\infty \exp(2\tau(-r\eta - p(r)))\, dr \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \exp(2\tau(r - p(r)))\, dr.\end{aligned}$$

Hence for each $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(2\tau(r\eta - p(r)))\, dr \leq C(\lambda, \tau) \exp(2\tau p^*(\lambda\eta)),$$

for each $\lambda > 1$, where $C(\lambda, \tau) > 0$ is a constant depending on λ and τ.

To estimate the integral in (7) from below we denote by μ the inverse function of the derivative p'

$$\mu(\eta) := (p')^{-1}(\eta) = |\eta|^{1/(\alpha-1)}.$$

First suppose that $\eta \geq 0$ and observe that p' is strictly increasing and that the supremum in formula (8) is attained in the point $\mu(\eta)$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(2\tau(r\eta - p(r)))\, dr &\geq \int_0^\infty \exp(2\tau(r\eta - p(r)))\, dr \\ &\geq \exp(2\tau(\eta(\mu(\eta) + 1) - p(\mu(\eta) + 1))).\end{aligned}$$

Next we claim that for each λ, $0 < \lambda < 1$, the following inequality holds

$$2\tau(\eta(\mu(\eta) + 1) - p(\mu(\eta) + 1)) \geq 2\tau(\lambda\eta\mu(\lambda\eta) - p(\mu(\lambda\eta))) - D(\tau, \lambda) \tag{9}$$

for each $\eta \geq 0$, where $D(\tau, \lambda) > 0$ is a constant depending on τ and λ.

To see this we remark that

$$\eta(\mu(\eta)+1) - p(\mu(\eta)+1) = \eta^{\alpha/(\alpha-1)} + \eta - 1/\alpha\left(\eta^{1/(\alpha-1)}+1\right)^{\alpha},$$

and

$$\lambda\eta\mu(\lambda\eta) - p(\mu(\lambda\eta)) = (1-1/\alpha)\lambda^{\alpha/(\alpha-1)}\eta^{\alpha/(\alpha-1)}.$$

It suffices to show that

$$\left(1-(1-1/\alpha)\lambda^{\alpha/(\alpha-1)}\right)\eta^{\alpha/(\alpha-1)} + \eta \geq 1/\alpha\left(\eta^{1/(\alpha-1)}+1\right)^{\alpha} - \tilde{D(\lambda)},$$

for each $\eta \geq 0$, where $\tilde{D(\lambda)} > 0$ is a constant depending on λ. But this follows easily from the fact that

$$1-(1-1/\alpha)\lambda^{\alpha/(\alpha-1)} > 1/\alpha.$$

For $\eta < 0$ we argue in a similar way.

On the whole we have now proved that

$$D(\tau,\lambda)\exp(2\tau p^*(\eta/\lambda)) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(2\tau(r\eta - p(r)))\,dr \leq C(\lambda,\tau)\exp(2\tau p^*(\lambda\eta)), \tag{10}$$

for each $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda > 1$.

For the conjugate function p^* one obtains by the same methods

$$D_1(\tau,\lambda)\exp(2\tau p(r/\lambda)) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(2\tau(r\eta - p^*(\eta)))\,d\eta \leq C_1(\lambda,\tau)\exp(2\tau p(\lambda r)), \tag{11}$$

for each $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda > 1$.

The asymptotic behavior of (7) as a function of τ, $\tau \to \infty$, can be derived from [1], pg. 65 :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(2\tau(r\eta - p(r)))\,dr \asymp \left(\frac{\tau p''(\mu(\eta))}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2} \exp(2\tau p^*(\eta)).$$

Let

$$\exp(2\tau\wp^*(\eta)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(2\tau(r\eta - p(r)))\,dr.$$

Then formula (6') can be written in the form

$$K_\tau(z,w) = \frac{\tau}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(2\tau(\eta(\frac{z+\overline{w}}{2}) - \wp^*(\eta))\right)d\eta. \tag{12}$$

In view of (10) and (11) this means that the Bergman kernel $K_\tau(z,w)$ is in a certain sense an analytical continuation of the original weight $\exp(2\tau p(r))$, namely in the form

$$\exp\left(2\tau\wp(\frac{z+\overline{w}}{2})\right).$$

For $p(z) = x^2/2$ everything can be computed explicitly:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(2\tau(r\eta - r^2/2))\, dr = (\pi/\tau)^{1/2} \exp(\tau\eta^2),$$

$$K_\tau(z,w) = \frac{\tau}{2\pi} \exp\left(\frac{\tau}{4}(z+\overline{w})^2\right) \tag{13}$$

and

$$S((z,t),(w,s)) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\left(\frac{1}{4}(z+\overline{w})^2 - \frac{1}{8}(z+\overline{z})^2 - \frac{1}{8}(w+\overline{w})^2 - i(s-t)\right)^{-2} \tag{14}$$

Applying formula (1) to the expression for the Szegö kernel in (14), we arrive again at (13), now the integral with respect to s converges only in L^2.

Results of this type have also been obtained by Gindikin (see [4] or [3]).

Finally we mention an estimate for the Bergman kernel, which plays an important role in the duality problem of [7] and which, in itself, seems to be interesting.

For the Bergman kernel in formula (13) the following condition is satisfied: for each $\tau_1 > \tau$ there exists $\tau_0, 0 < \tau_0 < \tau$, such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |K_\tau(z,w)|^2 \exp(-2\tau_1 p(z) - 2\tau_0 p(w))\, d\lambda(z)\, d\lambda(w) < \infty.$$

This follows by a direct computation using (13). In the general case the integration with respect to the variable z causes no problems, as the function $z \mapsto K_\tau(z,w)$ belongs to the Hilbertspace H_{τ_1}, for each fixed w. But, afterwards, the integration with respect to the variable w makes difficulties, because $\tau_0 < \tau$.

Acknowledgment. The author would like to express his sincere thanks to A. Nagel for valuable discussions during a conference at the M.S.R.I. in Berkeley.

References

1. N.G. de Bruijn, *Asymptotic methods in analysis,* North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1958.
2. K.P. Diaz, *The Szegö kernel as a singular integral kernel on a family of weakly pseudoconvex domains,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **304** (1987), 147–170.
3. B.A. Fuks, *Introduction to the theory of analytic functions in several complex variables,* (in Russian) M.,Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1962.
4. S.G. Gindikin, *Analytic functions in tubular regions,* Sov. Math.–Doklady **3** (1962), 1178–1182.
5. P.C. Greiner and E.M. Stein, *On the solvability of some differential operators of type* $\Box_b$, Proc. Internat. Conf., (Cortona, Italy, 1976–1977), Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, Pisa, 1978, pp. 106–165.
6. N. Hanges, *Explicit formulas for the Szegö kernel for some domains in* $\mathbb{C}^2$, J. Functional Analysis **88** (1990), 153–165.
7. F. Haslinger, *The Bergman kernel and duality in weighted spaces of entire functions,* preprint PAM–**310**, Berkeley, 1986.
8. H. Kang, $\overline{\partial}_b$*–equations on certain unbounded weakly pseudoconvex domains,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **315** (1989), 389–413.
9. A. Nagel, *Vector fields and nonisotropic metrics,* Beijing Lectures in Harmonic Analysis, E.M. Stein, Ed., Princeton Univ. Press, 1986.
10. A. Nagel, J.P. Rosay, E.M. Stein and S. Wainger, *Estimates for the Bergman and Szegö kernels in certain weakly pseudoconvex domains,* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (1988), 55–59.
11. A. Nagel, J.P. Rosay, E.M. Stein and S. Wainger, *Estimates for the Bergman and Szegö kernels in* $\mathbb{C}^2$, Ann. of Math. **129** (1989), 113–149.
12. A. Nagel, E.M. Stein and S. Wainger, *Boundary behavior of functions holomorphic in domains of finite type,* Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **78** (1981), 6596–6599.
13. A. Nagel, E.M. Stein and S. Wainger, *Balls and metrics defined by vector fields I: basic properties,* Acta Math. **155** (1985), 103–147.

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT WIEN, STRUDLHOFGASSE 4,
A–1090 WIEN, AUSTRIA.